

http://www.scientificamerican.com/article/tar-sands-extraction-without-strip-mining/

SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN™

Sign In | Register 0

Search ScientificAmerican.com



Subscription Center

Subscribe to All Access >

Subscribe to Print >

Give a Gift >

View the Latest Issue >



Subscribe News & Features Topics Blogs Videos & Podcasts Education Citizen Science SA Magazine SA Mind Books SA en español

Energy & Sustainability >> News

12 :: Email :: Print

The Opposite of Mining: Tar Sands Steam Extraction Lessens Footprint, but Environmental Costs Remain

Melting bitumen in place is less unsightly than mining tar sands, but increasing efficiency, lowering costs and--perhaps most importantly--minimizing greenhouse gas emissions remain challenges

By David Biello | January 2, 2013

CONKLIN, Alberta—The challenge of pulling oil from sand near here has typically required scraping away the boreal forest and underlying peat to expose the tar sand deposits below. The thickened sand is scooped out, then boiled to separate out the bitumen, with the leftover contaminated water and muck dumped in vast holding ponds the size of small lakes. From orbit the enormous strip mines and tailings lakes created by this process stand out, like a spreading sore—a scar on the planet evidencing the American thirst for oil. But the future of this Canadian province's oil sands leaves less of a visible mark, as can be seen near this town that is not so much a community as an intersection of roads that lead to camps for oil sands workers. That means fewer strip mines, tailings lakes and even giant trucks, but it also means more of the invisible greenhouse gas carbon dioxide accumulating in the atmosphere and warming the planet.



© David Biello

This future is melting bitumen where it lies at least 200 meters below the surface rather than mining tar sands. In 2011 more than 11,000 barrels of bitumen were melted out of the frozen ground not far from here each day, where the airstrip sees more human traffic than the town as workers commute in and out by plane from as far away as Newfoundland.

"Most of what's going on happens 375 meters below the surface," says Greg Fagnan, director of oil sands production at Cenovus's Christina Lake oil sands production facility. During a recent tour, Cenovus extracts bitumen by employing a technique called in-situ steam-assisted gravity drainage, which can be thought of as the opposite of mining. Instead of digging up the bitumen out of the ground, after it's heated out of the ground, Cenovus melts it out in place with steam.

More from Scientific American

MIND >

Classics >

DIGITAL >



Includes tablet edition!

More to Explore

Critical Carbon-Capture Technology Stalled

Enhanced Oil Recovery: How to Make Money from Carbon Capture and Storage Today

Pay Dirt: How to Turn Tar Sands into Oil [Slide Show]

Follow Us:



Celebrate Pi Approximation Day with us! Get 3 of our best-selling Pi topic issues plus a FREE BONUS issue! Add to your cart now for just \$9.99 >

That means Christina Lake is, in a sense, a giant water-processing facility "that happens to produce oil," Fagnan says. "It's not a complicated business, it's just complex."

Conklin is one of the frontier towns of a new tar sands boom, given that 80 percent of the at least 170 billion barrels in the Canadian province's tar sands are only accessible this way rather than by mining. In 2011, for the first time, oil production from such in situ operations surpassed that of mining for oil in the tar sands—a trend that is only likely to increase as more oil sands production comes online in Canada. Already, plumes of steam billow from the boreal forest across northeastern Alberta where a host of developers work—from Nexen, recently acquired by the China National Offshore Oil Corp. (CNOOC), to oil majors such as Royal Dutch Shell—like mushrooms springing up from the ground after rain.

But this recovery of bitumen in place will have to continue to improve its efficiency and cost if it is going to compete with other fast-growing oil recovery technologies, such as fracturing rock with high-pressure water, or **fracking**, to release trapped oil. As it stands, Alberta is estimated to hold more than 400 billion barrels of such "tight oil," which is already being produced in places like North Dakota's Bakken Shale by the number-one customer for Canada's tar sands oil: the U.S.

Melting tar

Steam dominates the Christina Lake facility, where mushroom clouds rise into the wintry blue sky, pierced only by the orange glow of a flare near the bitumen processing plant. Nine industrial boilers, powered by natural gas, heat treated brine from nearby aquifers to 350-degree-Celsius to inject it as steam into what can be likened to three giant, underground sandboxes—vast rectangular blocks of **oil-bearing sand**. Each sandbox is pierced by pairs of pipes, one perforated to release pressurized steam along its 800-meter length and melt oil from the tar sands, another to suck melted bitumen, bits of sand, water and natural gas with electric pumps back up to the surface. The pipes are strung with sensors, gauges and fiber optics, or "jewelry" in the industry jargon, that allow well operators back at the surface to continually monitor conditions under the earth, such as the steam pressure that starts out at a crushing 120-kilogram-force per square centimeter. Christina Lake pumps out a barrel of oil for every 2.3 barrels of injected steam.

Cenovus claims to use just 0.07 barrel of freshwater to produce each barrel of oil at Christina Lake, thanks to the recycling and almost exclusive use of brackish waters. According to the Royal Society of Canada's **2010 report on such tar sands** development, a typical facility uses 0.6 barrel of freshwater and 0.4 barrel of brine per barrel of oil. "Conventional oil from the Saudis uses more water than the [steam-assisted gravity drainage] process," says geologist John Zhou, executive director of environmental management at Alberta Innovates, a government-funded technology innovation effort.

Drilling the wells themselves presents the first challenge. During installation, the pairs of wells in each sand site are drilled approximately 100 meters apart, with one side of the well pair lined up with the other. The wells are modified for this kind of oil sands exploitation, with one well pad, with up to eight such wells are drilled, lining up side by side. The other side of the well pair has eight or more steam injectors and on the other a matched number of production wells. Plus a **FREE Bonus Issue!**

After a few years of production, such as at Cenovus's older Foster Creek facility started

CELEBRATE PI APPROXIMATION DAY
 Get 3 of our best-selling Pi topic issues
 Plus a **FREE Bonus Issue!**
 Add to your cart now for just \$9.99 >

Most Popular



15 Answers to Creationist Nonsense




The Problem with Artificial Willpower



How to Identify a Psychopath or Sociopath



Sea Level Could Rise at Least 6 Meters



New Horizons Emerges Unscathed from Pluto Flyby

Solve Innovation Challenges

Ideas to Impact: Recycling Liquid Petroleum Gas Cylinders Across Sub-Saharan Africa



Deadline: Sep 07 2015
 Reward: \$40,000 USD

Access to safe, clean and reliable sources of energy has a significant impact on health, quality of life, education and economic product

[More Challenges >>](#)

Powered By: **INNOCENTIVE**

Latest from SA Blog Network

Dust in the Wind: How Data Visualization Can Help the Environment

Guest Blog | 2 hours ago

Is It Snowing on Pluto?

Observations | 2 hours ago

Good-Bye Pluto, Thanks for Everything

Life, Unbounded | 4 hours ago

Pluto Flyby Already Inspiring Artists

Symbiarc | 23 hours ago

Death by Flatulence and Other Shortcomings of Big Data

Guest Blog | July 14, 2015

up in 2001, additional wells are drilled to recover oil from the wedges of tar sand between each steam-created balloon-shaped melt zone. Such [wedge wells](#) have enabled Cenovus to add 20,000 barrels of production per day to Foster Creek without requiring any additional steam—and a given well is expected to produce for roughly 20 years, though no well is yet that old.

"These fields are really like laboratories," says Cenovus spokesman Brett Harris, and operators are making continual adjustments and suggesting improvements, such as pumps that can withstand the high temperatures underground but also run on electricity rather than natural gas. Operators are also constantly adjusting the flow of steam, closing off sections of the perforated pipe to shut off heat to certain sections and ensure even melting throughout. Such in situ production has always been experimental, starting with the first attempt back in 1926: The pipes available at the time could not withstand the steam's heat and pressure, resulting in a steam explosion that destroyed the start-up plant and injuries to entrepreneur [Jacob Owen Absher](#), among others.

That is not a problem that has entirely disappeared. Oil company Devon Canada actually used too much pressure on its subterranean sandbox and [caused a blowout](#) that shot scalding steam and bitumen into the sky in the summer of 2010 near here, and Total Energy caused a similar explosion of steam, oil and rock north of Fort McMurray in 2006. The steam pipelines themselves have also blown as a result of cooled water trapped inside. Such a "water hammer" has tossed pipelines as much as a kilometer, Cenovus's Fagnan says, leaving them sticking out of the ground like toothpicks embedded more than three meters deep. The steam "packs a pretty big punch," he says.

Better engineering

The engineering challenges faced by in situ projects range from a lack of a sufficient seal above the oil sands deposit—allowing steam to escape without melting oil or, even worse, the oil itself to flow away—to underlying aquifers, or "thief zones," that can quench barrel after barrel of steam heat. And that's after the expensive well pairs have been put in place. At [Christina Lake](#) the reservoir sits below a layer not of rock, but of natural gas—and that means Cenovus operators must continually inject air to ensure that the gas pressure matches or exceeds the pressure of the pumped in steam, so the steam doesn't escape without doing its melting work.

The ultimate engineering challenge of the tar sands, however, may be [coping with greenhouse gases](#). As a result of increasing in situ production, greenhouse gas emissions from Alberta's tar sands rose by 1.7 percent last year, and are up 16 percent since 2009, according to the Canadian Association of Petroleum Producers. The newly dominant in situ technology produces oil at a cost of 2.5 times more CO2 emitted to the atmosphere than the more brute-force, conventional mining. Whereas this may be equivalent to what's emitted when using steam to flood out heavy oils in California or Nigeria, neither of those sources of greenhouse gases is growing as fast as the tar sands.

In an effort to get a billion in d... of this... Alberta has invested more than \$1.5 billion in d... 2 capture and storage (CCS) technology. But it has yet to be applied to... ration: the one current project in the oil sands involves capturing C... s from... Shell's operation near Fort Saskatchewan... dubbed Quest. That project is slated to open in 2015 and there are, as yet, no plans for CO2 capture and storage at any in situ facilities.

News From Our Partners



"Heading" Bans in Soccer May Not Be Enough to Stop Concussions



California's Anti-Vaping Bill Goes Up in Smoke



Severe Burns May Let "Bad Bacteria" Take Over the Gut



More Wildfires Burning More Forest May Become New Normal

Science Jobs of the Week

Specially-appointed Assistant Professor Positions in Nano-materials Science and Engineering, and in Drug Discovery based on Molecular and Cellular Biology Technology: Osaka university
Osaka University / K-CONNEX

Non-Tenure Assistant Professor Position in Primate Evolutionary Morphology, and Non-Tenure Program-Specific Assistant Professor / Senior Lecturer Position in Medical Sociology: Kyoto university
Kyoto University / K-CONNEX

Director, Saskatchewan Centre for Cyclotron Sciences
Sylvia Fedoruk Canadian Centre for Nuclear Innovation

[More jobs from Naturejobs.com >>](#)

Celebrate Pi
Approximation Day
with us!

Get 3 of our best-selling Pi topic issues

Add to your cart now for just \$9.99 >

The Pembina Institute, a Canadian environmental group, estimates that it would cost more than \$200 per metric ton of CO₂ to add CCS to tar sands production facilities like Christina Lake. Alberta's current price on CO₂ is \$15 per metric ton. "The trick is to find a way to make capture and storage economic," Harris says, noting that Cenovus has done exactly that at its Weyburn enhanced oil recovery project in Saskatchewan. The company uses CO₂ captured at a gasification plant across the border in North Dakota to scour more oil out of the ground—and the extra oil produced helps pay for the CO₂ capture process, although the oil also ends up contributing to the growing greenhouse gas burden when burned.

Reducing energy use, then, may prove a better route. By using solvents, such as the hydrocarbon butane, in situ producers can boost the bitumen recovery ability of the steam itself. Cenovus has been testing the process at Christina Lake since 2004 and will implement it at the company's newly approved Narrows Lake project, slated to start producing oil in 2017. Using such solvents "can drop the energy consumption by as much as 50 percent," says chemical engineer Murray Gray, scientific director of the Center for Oil Sands Innovation at the University of Alberta.

Such new technology means more cost to develop and produce what is already among the most costly forms of oil, Gray notes. At present, Cenovus spokesman Harris says that the company needs to earn at least \$35 per barrel of oil. With West Texas Intermediate grade oil at \$85, that is not a problem, except for the atmospheric warming. And it's not as if in situ development has no impact on the land: there's the industrial plant for producing steam and processing bitumen, tank farms to hold the final product, and big, boxy clearings for well pads kitted out with machinery like an elongated caterpillar with wells for legs that also connects to multiple pipelines snaking through the boreal forest. So do row after row of clear-cut lines for the seismic testing that reveals where the tar sand deposits lie, cuts that take a long time to heal given the slow growth rate of trees this far north.

But the technology for melting bitumen out of the ground is still developing. The first commercial steam-assisted gravity drainage facility only started up in 2001. Already experiments have started with potential advances such as microwaving the ground to loosen the oil, as attempted in tests by U.S. defense contractor Harris Corp. this year. "This industry is so young," says Scott Wenger, manager of government relations at original oil sands company Suncor, which hosted Harris's electromagnetic sand-heating effort. "Who knows what will happen with new technology?"

SEE ALSO:

Evolution: [How *Homo sapiens* Became the Ultimate Invasive Species](#) | Health: [The Conflicted History of Alcohol in Western Civilization](#) | Mind & Brain: [Nail Biting May Arise from Perfectionism](#) | Space: [Europa's "Brown Gunk" Suggests a Briny Sea](#) | Technology: [Timeline: The Amazing Multimillion-Year History of Processed Food](#) | More Science: [The Flavor Connection](#)



Get 3 of our best-selling Pi topic issues
Plus a **FREE BONUS** Issue!

[Add to your cart now for just \\$9.99 >](#)

Celebrate Pi

Approximation Day

With us!

[Rights & Permissions](#)


Share this








Recommended For You

- 1.  [The Problem with Female Superheroes](#) 3 weeks ago
[scientificamerican.com](#) [ScientificAmerican.com](#) Mind & Brain
- 2.  [Pluto's Odd Dark Spots Continue to Puzzle Scientists](#) a week ago
[scientificamerican.com](#) [ScientificAmerican.com](#) Space
- 3.  [Your Facial Bone Structure Has a Big Influence on How People See You](#) 3 weeks ago [scientificamerican.com](#) [ScientificAmerican.com](#) Everyday Science

Comments

Oldest - Newest

dwbd

January 2, 2013, 8:01 PM

Yes, very interesting how another SCIAM article deliberately avoids and blockades any mention of the "N-word". And Pembina is a schlock pseudo-environmental organization. The ONLY way to green the Tar Sands IS to use Nuclear Energy to supply Process Heat, Steam, Hydrogen & Electricity. You would have to be a blithering idiot to advocate Carbon Capture at \$200 per tonne of CO2, even \$80 per tonne is beyond stupid.

Nuclear costs \$0 per tonne of CO2 avoided, or less. That is because Nuclear can produce steam cheaper than Natural Gas and AS A FREE ADDED BONUS is ZERO CO2. And add to that Nuclear is readily able to supply ALL of the Tar Sands process heat, steam, electricity & hydrogen needs whereas natural gas cannot, not even close. In 10-20yrs, the Tar Sands will be forced to either SEVERELY cut back production or import VERY EXPENSIVE LNG or burn Coal if they don't go Nuclear. That is just a fact.

David Hughes on the coming shortage of NG in Canada:

watershedsentinel.ca/content/canadian-gas-exports-threaten-energy-security

Exploding the Natural Gas Supply Myth:

tinyurl.com/Cold-Hungry-and-in-the-Darknes

For just the \$1.5B Canada has already stupidly thrown down the sewer on Carbon Capture(with \$billions more to follow), they could develop David Leblanc's (University of Carleton) Denatured Molten Salt Small Modular Reactor. Perfect for Mining Camps, Mining Camps, Bitumen Process Heat, Steam, Electricity & Hydrogen and Community Electricity & Building Heat.

The DMSR uses 1/6th the Uranium of an American type LWR or 1/4 that of the CANDU. And is a prelude to the LFTR which uses 1 tonne of thorium to generate a GW of electricity for a year. 1.4 gms of thorium/yr to supply the avg households electricity.

Inherently safe, melt-down proof (the fuel is already molten), they can't overheat, they are self-regulating, no core rods, and if they ever did over-temp a frozen plug would melt and the core would be dumped into a holding tank. High temp, very efficient, air cooling is practical. They are small & compact.

Denatured Molten Salt Small Modular Reactors (DMSR)- An Idea Whose Time Has Finally Come? by D. LeBlanc:

PI **BONUS!** **Celebrate Pi**
Approximation Day
 Get 3 of our best-selling Pi topic issues
 Plus a **FREE BONUS** Issue!



Add to your cart now for just \$9.99 >

energyfromthorium.com/forum/download/file.php?id=728&sid=5a94910cc159198f9adc52d69955e817

David Leblanc explains how effective the DMSR would be for Tar Sands steam, electricity & process heat:

youtube.com/watch?v=_-BXg18fAik&feature=relmfu

[Report as Abuse](#) | [Link to This](#)

Phloxdiffusa

January 3, 2013, 11:56 AM

Thorium reactors could replace the energy of the tarsands, maybe in twenty years. By that time the environmental damage to soil and water may be catastrophic and climate change irreversible.

[Report as Abuse](#) | [Link to This](#)

foundb

January 3, 2013, 4:31 PM

I agree totally with DWBD, nuclear is the way to go. There are no nuclear plants in Alberta but a few years ago Bruce Energy from Ontario had a plan for such a plant for the northern part of the province to basically supply the oil sands. The company had done millions of dollars in up front design and feasibility studies but rumblings of a new provincial government headed by the uninformed Premier Allison Redford quashed that idea. When Redford said she was against nuclear energy the company pulled out! Stupid, stupid, stupid. Did you know that France has more nuclear reactors in the entire world and they also have the CLEANEST AIR? How many nuclear accidents have you heard about in France?

[Report as Abuse](#) | [Link to This](#)

kienhua68

January 4, 2013, 3:52 AM

The sense of desperation is almost palpable. This much effort to obtain oil belies its supposed abundance.

[Report as Abuse](#) | [Link to This](#)

thevillagegeek → **dwbd**

January 5, 2013, 5:14 PM

When someone presents only two alternatives, one which they clearly favour, it makes genuinely critical thinkers recall the logical fallacy of the false choice, so often used to present one of many possibilities as the 'only choice'.

[Report as Abuse](#) | [Link to This](#)

thevillagegeek

January 5, 2013, 5:20 PM

I could be the only reader of this article who has actually lived in both Wood Buffalo (which includes Conklin) and Weyburn, two of the communities mentioned in this story. It's an odd 'Hey, I've been there' feeling that you get with small towns or obscure places but not with major locations that are mentioned all the time.

[Report as Abuse](#) | [Link to This](#)

dwbd → **thevillagegeek**

January 5, 2013, 9:34 PM

Yep, and you offer ZERO alternatives, ZERO choices. I offer a completely VIABLE set of options - all Nuclear - you have nothing to offer but starvation, poverty, deprivation and economic collapse. Show us your alternatives - give details.

[Report as Abuse](#) | [Link to This](#)

sault

Celebrate Pi Approximation Day with us!

The advertisement features a red banner with the text "Celebrate Pi Approximation Day with us!". Below the banner is a small image of the Scientific American magazine cover with a "BONUS" sticker. The background of the ad is white with a red border.

January 7, 2013, 5:37 PM

Problem is, if bitumen extraction is the only way to supply process heat for bitumen extraction, it would be obviated by the very nuclear power plants that are being built. However, in light of the ongoing delays and cost overruns happening at the Vogtle, GA plant and others, it is

plainly obvious that this won't be possible with current reactor technology.

The price tag for these reactors is coming in at \$8B a pop now, and that's with a streamlined NRC approval of a combined construction and operation license. Oh, and the utility gets to charge its customers billion\$\$\$ before the reactors crank out one electron and Uncle Sam picks up the liability insurance tab for these reactors via the Price Anderson Act...and a lot of the technology in these reactors was developed for our nuclear weapons program.

So yeah, nuclear power "too cheap to meter", even after all these government handouts, is a long ways away from being able to cook tar out of the ground in the middle of nowhere, Canada.

[Report as Abuse](#) | [Link to This](#)

swimswiss

January 7, 2013, 6:16 PM

a good article, but that line 'a scar on the planet evidencing the American thirst for oil'

reporters are justified in having a bias i think, & readers should xpect them, but i'd hav t say, that most everybuddy around the planet, ncluding americans, has a 'thirst fr oil', or something very similar, or something at least or even more destructive or also with negativ side effects, its whatever it is they hav or want that is of 'value', & u can find people in any country, willing to do just about anything, to get what they think is 'of value'... & tearing up the soil, downing trees, & fouling the air & water is the LEAST of what theyr willing to do!... u can also find activists willing to oppose such people, but frankly, they arent much different, hav a somewhat different set of values, but usually, they too are unscrupulous in some ways when it comes t getting what they want & feel they need, & leave their own 'footprints' as well, i think really, ultimately its only suicides that are much different from any side of these battles, as even ascetics destroy something or possibilities & allow something else simply by not consuming this or that, which would of course even leave suicides in some sense just as 'guilty or innocent' as anybuddy else, evn the most 'voracious', but still somehow 'different' i guess... & its all not just an 'american' issue... & that oilsands 'scar', is the result of global economy & activity & competition & cooperation, not just americans or canadians, its a world issue, not to mention the millions upon millions of new immigrants to canada or america from around the world's nations, not to mention other nations trying to buy out the Canadian-owned oilsands companies, or bringing thr own foreign companys to mine the oil & gas

[Report as Abuse](#) | [Link to This](#)

sault → **swimswiss**

January 8, 2013, 12:20 AM

The USA has one of the highest per capita oil consumption rates in the developed world and the vast majority of Tar Sands oil is exported south of the border. So yes, those sprawling moonscapes in Alberta and the sludge ponds nearby are markers of America's thirst for oil. Or if you count "North America" as just "America", then virtually all of the Tar Sands oil goes to quench this thirst.

[Report as Abuse](#) | [Link to This](#)

More Comments

You must [sign in](#) or [register](#) as a ScientificAmerican.com member to submit a comment.



PI BONUS!
SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN
BEYOND EINSTEIN

Celebrate Pi
Approximation Day
with us!

Get 3 of our best-selling Pi topic issues
Plus a **FREE BONUS** Issue!

[Add to your cart now for just \\$9.99 >](#)

TRY A RISK-FREE ISSUE



YES! Send me a free issue of Scientific American with no obligation to continue the subscription. If I like it, I will be billed for the one-year subscription.

[Subscribe Now](#)

© 2015 Scientific American, a Division of Nature America, Inc.

All Rights Reserved.

[Advertise](#)

[About Scientific American](#)

[Subscribe](#)

[Special Ad Sections](#)

[Press Room](#)

[Renew Your Print Subscription](#)

[SA Custom Media and Partnerships](#)

[Site Map](#)

[Print Subscriber Customer Service](#)

[Science Jobs](#)

[Terms of Use](#)

[Buy Back Issues](#)

[Partner Network](#)

[Privacy Policy](#)

[FAQs](#)

[International Editions](#)

[Use of Cookies](#)

[Contact Us](#)

[Travel](#)

TT **BONUS!**



Celebrate Pi Approximation Day with us!

Get 3 of our best-selling Pi topic issues
Plus a **FREE Bonus** Issue!

[Add to your cart now for just \\$9.99 >](#)